




# **EnergyPlus Testing with Fuel-Fired Furnace Tests HE100 to HE230 from ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007**

EnergyPlus Version 7.0.0.036

November 2011

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy  
Office of Building Technologies  
Washington, D.C.



Prepared by:

Robert H. Henninger and Michael J. Witte

**GARDAnalytics**

*Energy, Economic and Environmental Research:*

115 S. Wilke Road, Suite 105  
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-1500  
USA  
[www.gard.com](http://www.gard.com)



This work was supported by the Department of Energy and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) through the University of Central Florida. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the sponsor. Earlier work was supported by the Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and by the National Energy Technology Laboratory by subcontract through the University of Central Florida/Florida Solar Energy Center.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or services by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



# Table of Contents

Section	Page
<b>1 TEST OBJECTIVES AND OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Test Type: Analytical and Comparative – HVAC .....	1
1.3 Test Suite: ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 Space Cooling Performance Tests .....	1
1.3.1 Case HE100 – Base Case Building and Mechanical System .....	2
1.3.2 Weather Data .....	4
1.3.3 Simulation and Reporting Period .....	5
<b>2 MODELER REPORT.....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Modeling Methodology .....	7
2.2 Modeling Assumptions .....	7
2.3 Modeling Difficulties .....	8
2.3.1 Weather Data .....	8
2.3.2 Building Envelope Construction .....	8
2.3.3 EnergyPlus Furnace Model Enhancements .....	9
2.3.4 Circulating Fan Flow Rate .....	10
2.4 Results – Round 1 .....	10
2.5 Results – Round 2 .....	12
2.6 Software Errors Discovered and/or Comparison Between Different Versions of the Same Software – Round 2 .....	12
2.7 Results – Round 3 .....	12
2.8 Results With Latest Release of EnergyPlus and Summary of Pertinent Changes Between Versions of EnergyPlus .....	15
<b>3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>4 CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>5 REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>APPENDIX A CHARTS COMPARING ENERGYPLUS RESULTS WITH ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR CASES WITH VARYING PART LOAD</b>	



# 1 TEST OBJECTIVES AND OVERVIEW

---

## 1.1 Introduction

This report describes the modeling methodology and results for testing done of HVAC system tests designated as Cases HE100 through HE230 of ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 titled *Standard Method of Test for the Evaluation of Building Energy Analysis Computer Programs* with the EnergyPlus Version 7.0.0.036. The results of EnergyPlus are also compared with analytical results as well as results from several other whole building energy analysis programs that simulated the same test cases.

## 1.2 Test Type: Analytical and Comparative – HVAC

The ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 contains a set of analytical tests as well as a set of comparative results from several whole building simulation programs that participated in an International Energy Agency (IEA) project to test HVAC equipment performance modeling procedures for fuel-fired furnaces. Analytical tests compare a program's results to mathematical solutions for simple cases. This is an excellent method to use for assessing the accuracy of results since there is only one solution for the case analyzed given the boundary conditions. Comparative tests compare a program to itself or to other simulation programs. Both types of testing accomplish results on two different levels, both validation and debugging. Validation is accomplished when the results of the test program compare favorably with the analytical results. Debugging is accomplished when the results for certain cases do not compare favorably with the analytical results and then through systematic checking it is determined that the source of the difference is due to an input error, a modeling inconsistency or flaw in the program logic.

## 1.3 Test Suite: ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 Space Cooling Performance Tests

The tests described in Section 5.4 of ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007, *Standard Method of Test for the Evaluation of Building Energy Analysis Computer Programs*, were performed using the EnergyPlus program. This standard builds upon work previously performed by the International Energy Agency (IEA) Solar Heating and Cooling Programme Task 22, *Building Energy Simulation Test and Diagnostic Method for HVAC Equipment Models (HVAC BESTEST): Fuel-Fired Test Cases* (Purdy & Beausoleil-Mossison 2003). The testing done with EnergyPlus actually started as part of the IEA HVAC BESTEST activities before these HVAC equipment performance testing procedures were incorporated into ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007. The discussion which follows chronicles the experiences that occurred while using EnergyPlus to simulate the HVAC BESTEST Fuel-Fired Furnace test suite, the same tests that are now part of ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 where the test cases are now referenced as Cases HE100 – HE230. In the following discussions where sections, tables or figures are referenced from Standard 240-2007, the corresponding reference in the HVAC BESTEST specification follows in parentheses. Beginning with the results for EnergyPlus version 2.2.0.023, the new “HE” test case designation is used in tables and charts while for all previous versions of EnergyPlus the “1a, 1b, ..., 2a, 2b...” test case designation was used.

Section 5.4 of ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 and the IEA document (subsequently referred to in this report as the IEA Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases report) describe a series of eleven cases which isolate a single facet of the furnace model in each case, starting with the simplest case and progressively adding complexity. The test cases are grouped into two tiers: Tier 1 test cases employ simple boundary conditions and test the basic functionality of the furnace model using constant hourly or sinusoidal outdoor dry-bulb temperatures. Tier 2 uses continuously varying hourly outdoor dry-bulb temperatures from a cold weather location. Specific cases are designed to test a building energy simulation program with respect to the following components:


- Furnace steady state efficiency
- Furnace part load ratio
- Outdoor temperature
- Indoor set-point temperature
- Circulating fan operation
- Draft fan operation.


The following tests were performed as specified in both ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 and the IEA Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases report (test case designation shown in parenthesis below):

- Case HE100 – Base Case Building and Mechanical System (Case 1a)
- Case HE110 – Efficiency Test (Case 1b)
- Case HE120 – Steady Part-Load Test (Case 1c)
- Case HE130 – No Load Test (Case 1d)
- Case HE140 – Periodically Varying Part-Load Test (Case 1e)
- Case HE150 – Circulating Fan Test (Case 1f)
- Case HE160 – Cycling Circulating Fan Test (Case 1g)
- Case HE170 – Draft Fan Test (Case 1h)
- Case HE210 – Realistic Weather Data (Case 2a)
- Case HE220 – Setback Thermostat (Case 2b)
- Case HE230 – Undersized Furnace (Case 2c)

### ***1.3.1 Case HE100 – Base Case Building and Mechanical System***

The basic test building (Figure 1) is a rectangular 48 m<sup>2</sup> single zone (8 m wide x 6 m long x 2.7 m high) with no interior partitions and no windows. The building is intended as a near-adiabatic cell with energy transfer through a single surface to drive the heating loads. Energy is transferred to the outdoors through the roof. Material properties are described below. For further details refer to Section 5.4.1 of Standard 140-2007.

Building - Furnace-Case HE100  




**Figure 1 Base Case Building - Isometric View of Southeast Corner**

**Wall and Floor Construction:**

Element	k (W/m-K)	Thickness (m)	U (W/m <sup>2</sup> -K)	R (m <sup>2</sup> -K/W)
Int. Surface Coeff.			20.0	0.05
Insulation	0.010	1.000	0.010	100.000
Ext. Surface Coeff.			20.0	0.05

**Roof Construction:**

Element	k (W/m-K)	Thickness (m)	U (W/m <sup>2</sup> -K)	R (m <sup>2</sup> -K/W)
Int. Surface Coeff.			20.0	0.05
Insulation	0.0714	0.01	7.14	0.14
Ext. Surface Coeff.			20.0	0.05

**Opaque Surface Radiative Properties:**

	Interior Surface	Exterior Surface
Solar Absorptivity	0.0	0.0
Longwave Emissivity	0.0	0.0

**Infiltration:** None

**Internal Load:** None

**Mechanical System:** Simple sealed combustion, fuel-fired, convective heating system with the following characteristics:

Heating Capacity	10,000	W
Indoor Fan Power	200	W
Draft Fan Power	50	W
Full-load Efficiency	80%	
No pilot light		
No air or thermal losses from distribution ducts		
Combustion air is drawn directly from outdoors		

There is a non-proportional-type thermostat, cool always off, heating on if zone air temperature <20.0°C. When operating at part load the furnace heat input ratio (HIR) is a function of the part load ratio (PLR):

$$\text{HIR} = a + b * \text{PLR} + c * \text{PLR}^2 + d * \text{PLR}^3$$

a = 0.0080472574  
b = 0.87564457  
c = 0.29249943  
d = -0.17624156

For those tests where the supply fan and draft fan are to be simulated, the following is assumed:

Supply fan power = 200 W  
Draft fan power = 50 W and cycles with burner

Table 1 summarizes the mechanical system options that were simulated for each test.

### 1.3.2 Weather Data

Five three-month long (January – March) weather files in WYEC2 format were provided with the Standard 140-2007 test suite for the first time with file names as shown below. The WYEC2 versions of these weather files were converted to EnergyPlus format using the latest EnergyPlus weather conversions program and used to generate results for EnergyPlus Version 2.2.0.023 and later releases.

HE100W.WY2 (weather file: a in HVAC BESTEST)  
HE120W.WY2 (weather file: c in HVAC BESTEST)  
HE130W.WY2 (weather file: d in HVAC BESTEST)  
HE140W.WY2 (weather file: e in HVAC BESTEST)  
HE210W.WY2 (weather file: f in HVAC BESTEST)

Although hourly values are provided for various weather variables, the only parameter that varies for each weather file is the ambient dry-bulb temperature. For HE100W.WY2, the outdoor dry-bulb temperature remains constant at -30°C for the three month period. Similarly, HE120W.WY2 has a constant 0°C outdoor temperature and HE130W.WY2 has a constant 20°C outdoor temperature. HE140W.WY2 features the outdoor temperature varying sinusoidally each 24-hour period from -20°C to +20°C. Diffuse and direct normal solar intensity and wind speed are all set to 0.0 for all hours thus eliminating the impact of these effects on the results and outdoor air relative humidity is held constant at 50% for all weather files. HE210W.WY2 represents a more realistic weather set from a cold winter location.

Early versions of the first four weather files were not provided in a standard weather data format and EnergyPlus compatible weather files had to be created. Because weather file 'f' was the only one provided originally in WYEC2 format, it was decoded directly using the EnergyPlus weather converting utility. The final version of the Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases report as well as Standard 140-2007 now provide all five weather files in WYEC2 format. These weather files were subsequently decoded using the EnergyPlus weather conversion program to create .epw weather files. The results presented and discussed in Section 2.8 use these .epw weather files.

### ***1.3.3 Simulation and Reporting Period***

Simulations for all cases were run for a three month period. For cases which used weather files HE100W.WY2, HE120W.WY2 or HE130W.WY2 , the results do not vary from hour to hour. For cases which use HE140W.WY2, the results vary within a 24 hour period and then repeat for each day of the simulation. For Cases HE210, HE220 and HE230 which use weather file HE210W.WY2, the results vary hourly over the entire 3-month simulation period.

**Table 1 Standard 140-2007 Space Heating Performance  
Test Case Descriptions**

Case #	Furnace Capacity (W)	Furnace Full Load Efficiency (%)	Part Load Simulation	Weather File & Outdoor Temperature (C)	Circulating Fan Power (W)	Draft Fan Power (W)	Comments
HE100	10,000	100	No	HE100W -30	0	0	Base Case Building
HE110	10,000	80	No	HE100W -30	0	0	Efficiency Test
HE120	10,000	80	Yes	HE120W 0	0	0	Simple Part Load Test
HE130	10,000	80	No	HE130W 20	0	0	No Load Test
HE140	10,000	80	Yes	HE140W Sinusoidal	0	0	Complex Part Load Test
HE150	10,000	80	Yes	HE140W Sinusoidal	200 continuous	0	Circulating Fan Test
HE160	10,000	80	Yes	HE140W Sinusoidal	200 cycles with burner	0	Cycling Circulating Fan Test
HE170	10,000	80	Yes	HE140W Sinusoidal	200 continuous	50 cycles with burner	Draft Fan Test
HE210	10,000	80	Yes	HE210W Varying	200 cycles with furnace	50 cycles with burner	Realistic Weather
HE220	10,000	80	Yes	HE210W Varying	200 cycles with furnace	50 cycles with burner	Setback Thermostat
HE230	5,000	80	Yes	HE210W Varying	200 cycles with furnace	50 cycles with burner	Undersized Furnace

## 2 MODELER REPORT

---

### 2.1 Modeling Methodology

For modeling of the simple fuel-fired furnace, the EnergyPlus AirLoopHVAC:Unitary:Furnace:HeatOnly object (previous to EnergyPlus 3.0.0 this object was called Unitary:Furnace:HeatOnly) was utilized. As indicated in Figure 2, the components of the furnace model include a supply fan and gas-fired heating coil which supplies heated air to the conditioned spaces. A single action thermostat in the control zone controls the amount of heat delivered to the space by cycling the burner. The supply fan operation can also be specified as either continuous or cycling. If a draft fan is present, its electric power is specified using the parasitic electric load input parameter on the COIL:Gas:Heating object. The model also allows for a furnace part load performance curve to be specified where the heat input ratio (HIR) is expressed as a cubic function of the part load.




Figure 2 EnergyPlus Furnace Model

### 2.2 Modeling Assumptions

During the Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases analysis using EnergyPlus the following assumptions, consistent with the testing specification, were followed:

- The furnace is a convective heating system that injects heat directly into the zone air
- The zone air is fully mixed
- The furnace has no pilot light

- The furnace draws combustion air directly from outdoors
- The furnace has a sealed combustion chamber where the flue does not extract air from the zone
- The air distribution ducts have no thermal or air losses
- Ideal thermostat control with no throttling range.

## 2.3 Modeling Difficulties

### 2.3.1 Weather Data

As discussed previously in Section 1.3.2, several of the original weather files a, c, d, e and f provided as part of the Furnace HVAC BESTEST package were not directly usable by EnergyPlus. The first four weather files had data presented in a tab delimited test format and contained only the following data for each hourly weather record:

Outdoor dry-bulb temperature, °C  
 Relative humidity, %  
 Wind speed, m/s  
 Wind direction, clockwise degrees from north  
 Direct normal solar intensity, W/m<sup>2</sup>  
 Diffuse solar on the horizontal, W/m<sup>2</sup>.

Except for the dry-bulb temperature and relative humidity, all other parameters had values of 0.0 for every hour. Weather file f was a WYEC2 weather file for Ottawa, Canada. EnergyPlus comes with a weather decoding utility that works with weather files that are in WYEC2 format. The first four Furnace HVAC BESTEST weather files were not in either of these formats and therefore the EnergyPlus weather files had to be custom made.

The new WYEC2 weather files provided with Standard 140-2007 converted into EnergyPlus epw format were used with EnergyPlus 2.2.0.023 and later releases to generate the results that are presented and discussed in Section 2.8.

### 2.3.2 Building Envelope Construction

The specification for the building envelope indicates that the exterior walls and floor are made up of one opaque layer of insulation (R=100) to approach an almost adiabatic condition while the roof was constructed of opaque layer with an R=0.14. The heating requirement in the zone each hour was due to the heat transfer through the roof surface. The analytical solution assumed that the inside and outside surface film coefficients for the roof surface were constant at 20 W/m-K. Prior to EnergyPlus version 1.1.0.018 released in April 2003, the user could not independently specify these coefficients. In accordance with ASHRAE accepted methodology, EnergyPlus

recognized the difference between horizontal and vertical heat transfer through surfaces and assigned different convection coefficient values for walls, ceilings and floors depending on the type of convection coefficient chosen. For the case of NO WIND and NO SUN and with INSIDE CONVECTION ALGORITHM and OUTSIDE CONVECTION ALGORITHM set equal to SIMPLE, EnergyPlus sets the surface film coefficients as follows:

E-Plus Interior Film	4.04 W/m <sup>2</sup> -K
E-Plus Outside Film	8.23 W/m <sup>2</sup> -K

The values used in the Fuel-Fired Furnace analytical solution are so much greater than the EnergyPlus values, that even by adjusting the roof R-value in EnergyPlus to a very small number, i.e.,  $R=0.0001$ , the resulting EnergyPlus roof heat loss of 9,079 W was still much lower than the 9,998 W indicated in the analytical solution for Case HE100. Therefore, the roof area in EnergyPlus had to be increased from 48 m<sup>2</sup> to 101.16 m<sup>2</sup> in order to achieve the desired 9,998 W heat loss for Cases HE100, HE110, HE120 and HE130. For Cases HE140 through HE170 where the outdoor temperature varied sinusoidally over a 24-hour period, the roof area was set to 87.96 m<sup>2</sup> in order to match the heat loss for the first hour of the day. For all other hours in the day, there was a slight difference between the Fuel-Fired Furnace analytical heat loss and the EnergyPlus heat loss through the roof, differing by < 0.3%. Cases HE210, HE220 and HE230 used the same building model as Case HE170.

Standard 140-2007 and the final HVAC BESTEST Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases report contain an alternate approach for simulation programs that do not allow the user to define the convection heat transfer coefficients. This applied to EnergyPlus releases prior to Version 1.3.0.018. The alternate procedure requires the user to set the properties of all surfaces in the space including the roof to near-adiabatic test cell conditions. The load on the space each hour is then imposed by a constant infiltration of outdoor air at 0.2 kg/s (mass flow rate). This still created problems for EnergyPlus since the user is required to specify an infiltration rate in terms of m<sup>3</sup>/s (volume flow rate) which is then converted to a mass flow rate each hour using the actual outdoor air density for each hour. A constant infiltration mass flow rate of 0.2 kg/s could therefore not be imposed on EnergyPlus.

The results reported and discussed in Section 2.8 with subsequent versions of EnergyPlus 1.3.0.018 and later were generated by using the new CONVECTIONCOEFFICIENTS object to set the inside and outside convection coefficient of the roof surface to a constant 20 W/m<sup>2</sup>-K. This resulted in a roof heat flux of 10,000 W comparing favorably to the 9,998 gotten with the analytical solution.

### ***2.3.3 EnergyPlus Furnace Model Enhancements***

Prior to EnergyPlus Version 1.0.1.017, the EnergyPlus furnace model did not have capability to simulate part load performance and account for parasitic electric power such as that used by the draft fan. These features were added to the furnace model and were available for the first time in EnergyPlus Version 1.0.1.017. This then allowed EnergyPlus to simulate all of the Tier 1 Furnace HVAC BESTEST cases.

### **2.3.4 Circulating Fan Flow Rate**

The only information that the test suite specification gives for the indoor circulating fan is that it uses 200 W of power. This is not a direct input for the EnergyPlus fan object. The EnergyPlus fan object requires the user to define the following parameters from which the fan input power is calculated:

Air volume flow rate, m<sup>3</sup>/s [Q]  
Delta pressure, Pa [ $\Delta P$ ]  
Total fan efficiency, dimensionless [Eff]

The fan input power W in watts is then:

$$W = Q * \Delta P / \text{Eff}$$

It was assumed that Q = 2 m<sup>3</sup>/s and  $\Delta P$  = 1 Pa. For 200 W input power the total fan efficiency is therefore 0.01.

## **2.4 Results – Round 1**

Results from the first modeling with EnergyPlus Version 1.0.1.019 are presented in Table 2. Note that all results are expressed in Watts (W) to conform with the units of the analytical results reported in early versions of the test suite specification. The part load operation of the supply fan in Case 1g indicated that there may be a bug in the EnergyPlus code. All other results are within 0.2%.

**Table 2 – Round 1 Furnace HVAC BESTEST Results for  
EnergyPlus Version 1.0.1.019  
(Note that energy values are reported in units of watts)**

<b>Case 1a (Case HE100) - Base Case Building, 100% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Hourly Heat delivered to Space (W)	9,998	9,999	0.01%
Hourly Fuel Input (W)	9,998	10,000	0.02%
<b>Case 1b (Case HE110) - 80% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Hourly Heat delivered to Space (W)	9,998	9,999	0.01%
Hourly Fuel Input (W)	12,498	12,500	0.02%
<b>Case 1c (Case HE120) - Simple Part Load Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Hourly Heat delivered to Space (W)	3,999	4,000	0.01%
Hourly Fuel Input (W)	4,922	4,922	0.01%
<b>Case 1d (Case HE130) - No Load Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Hourly Heat delivered to Space (W)	-	-	0.00%
Hourly Fuel Input (W)	-	-	0.00%
<b>Case 1e (Case HE140) - Complex Part Load Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Daily Heat delivered to Space (W)	95,978	95,988	0.01%
Daily Fuel Input (W)	119,963	120,077	0.09%
Total Fan Power (W)	-	-	0.00%
<b>Case 1f (Case HE150) - Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Daily Heat delivered to Space (W)	91,505	91,327	-0.19%
Daily Fuel Input (W)	114,232	114,106	-0.11%
Total Fan Power (W)	4,800	4,800	0.00%
<b>Case 1g (Case HE160) - Cycling Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Daily Heat delivered to Space (W)	95,978	94,007	-2.05%
Daily Fuel Input (W)	117,564	117,570	0.01%
Total Fan Power (W)	1,920	2,193	14.24%
<b>Case 1h (Case HE170) - Draft Fan Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Daily Heat delivered to Space (W)	91,505	91,327	-0.19%
Daily Fuel Input (W)	114,232	114,106	-0.11%
Total Fan Power (W)	5,257	5,257	-0.01%

## 2.5 Results – Round 2

During Round 2 of the testing, EnergyPlus Version 1.0.2.008 was used to perform the simulations. Also during Round 2 the three new Tier 2 tests were added and the results for the Tier 1 cases were expressed instead in units of GJ and m<sup>3</sup>/s to be consistent with the calculated analytical results reported in the August 2002 version of the test suite specification. Fuel-Fired Furnace analytical results were not available for the Tier 2 tests.

Results from the second round of simulations with EnergyPlus Version 1.0.2.008 are presented in Table 3.

## 2.6 Software Errors Discovered and/or Comparison Between Different Versions of the Same Software – Round 2

The suite of Fuel-Fired Furnace test cases were simulated again using EnergyPlus Version 1.0.2.008 (the first public release of Version 1.0.2, July 2002). The EnergyPlus input files were identical to those used in Round 1 for Cases 1a through 1h. New EnergyPlus input files, however, had to be developed for the new cases 2a through 2c.

EnergyPlus Version 1.0.2.008 had one significant code change compared to Version 1.0.1.019 which did result in some changes compared to Round 1:

- A change was made to the manner in which the supply fan was simulated during part load operation

The following changes in EnergyPlus results were observed:

- The total fan power disagreement between EnergyPlus and BESTEST for Case 1g improved and were within <2% of each other
- Results for all other Tier 1 cases were within 1.4% of the BESTEST analytical results

## 2.7 Results – Round 3

In March 2003, an additional requirement was added to the test suite specification, i.e., the circulating fan volume flow rate was set to 0.355 m<sup>3</sup>/s. To accommodate this change and still have the fan input power remain at 200 W, the fan total efficiency input was changed from the previous value of 0.01 used in Rounds 1 and 2 to a new value of 0.441975. As expected, the results for Round 3 were identical to the Round 2 results presented in Table 3.

**Table 3 – Rounds 2 and 3 Furnace HVAC BESTEST Results for  
EnergyPlus Version 1.0.2.008  
(Note that energy values are reported in units of GJ)**

<b>Case 1a (Case HE100) - Base Case Building, 100% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	77.74	77.75	0.01%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000263	0.000263	0.05%
<b>Case 1b (Case HE110) - 80% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	77.74	77.75	0.01%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000329	0.000329	-0.03%
<b>Case 1c (Case HE120) - Simple Part Load Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	31.10	0.00%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001295	0.0001292	-0.26%
<b>Case 1d (Case HE130) - No Load Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	-	-	0.00%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	-	-	0.00%
<b>Case 1e (Case HE140) - Complex Part Load Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	31.10	0.00%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000132	0.000130	-1.41%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	-	-	0.00%
<b>Case 1f (Case HE150) - Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	29.65	29.58	-0.24%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001253	0.0001237	-1.26%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	1.560	1.555	-0.31%
<b>Case 1g (Case HE160) - Cycling Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	30.49	-1.95%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001289	0.0001275	-1.05%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	0.620	0.610	-1.66%
<b>Case 1h (Case HE170) - Draft Fan Test</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	29.65	29.58	-0.24%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001253	0.0001237	-1.26%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	1.703	1.701	-0.12%

**Table 3 – Rounds 2 and 3 Furnace HVAC BESTEST Results for  
EnergyPlus Version 1.0.2.008  
(Note that energy values are reported in units of GJ)  
(Continued)**

<b>Case 2a (Case HE210) - Realistic Weather Data</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		42.04	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001757	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		0.841	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.208	
Average Space Temperature (C)		20.0017	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		20.0005	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/27	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		08:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0019	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		03/26	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		10:00	
<b>Case 2b (Case HE220) - Setback Thermostat</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		39.87	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001666	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		0.797	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.197	
Average Space Temperature (C)		18.5285	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		15.0004	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/27	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		01:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0019	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		03/09	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		22:00	
<b>Case 2c (Case HE230) - Undersized Furnace</b>			
	BESTEST	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		34.59	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001440	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		1.383	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.170	
Average Space Temperature (C)		15.1742	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		4.4757	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/27	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		09:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0037	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		03/29	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		23:00	

Note: BESTEST analytical results were not available for Cases 2a through 2c, therefore percentage differences could not be calculated

## 2.8 Results With Latest Release of EnergyPlus and Summary of Pertinent Changes Between Versions of EnergyPlus

Since the publishing of the IEA task group final report titled *Building Energy Simulation Test and Diagnostic Method for Heating, Ventilation, and Air-Conditioning Equipment Models: Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases* in May 2003, further capabilities and improvements have been added to EnergyPlus with new releases in beginning in August 2002 (version 1.0.2) and continuing through the current release in November 2011 (version 7.0.0.036). The results of running the Standard 140 heating tests with EnergyPlus versions 1.3.0.018, 1.4.0.025, 2.0.0.025, 2.1.0.023, and 2.2.0.023 remained unchanged and are reported in Table 4. Small changes of less than 0.75% occurred in versions 3.0.0.028 and 3.1.0.027 due to code changes and are presented in Table 5 along with the results for versions 7.0.0.036, 6.0.0.023, 5.0.0.031 and 4.0.0.024 which are the same as version 3.1.0.027 results. These changes did bring the fan power consumption for Case HE160 closer to the Standard 140 result. Input and code modifications that have taken place over the testing process are summarized below:

- In EnergyPlus version 1.1.0.012 simulation code was added to iterate for the cases of cycling fan and cycling coil when the heater has a part-load performance curve. This was needed to correctly account for the amount of fan heat, with the balance of the heating load being picked up by the heater. This change affected cases that had a cycling circulating fan (cases HE170, HE210, HE220 and HE230).
- With the publication of the IEA HVAC BESTEST Fuel-Fired Furnace final report (Purdy and Beausoleil-Morrison 2003), a complete set of weather files in WYEC2 format were made available. Beginning with EnergyPlus version 1.2.2.030, these weather files were converted into EnergyPlus format and used to generate the EnergyPlus 1.2.2.030 results.
- Beginning with EnergyPlus version 1.2.2.030, surface convection coefficients were set manually to conform exactly to the test specification
- Beginning with EnergyPlus version 1.2.2.030, tightened up temperature and loads convergence tolerances to ensure achievement of steady state during the warm-up period
- Beginning with EnergyPlus version 1.2.2.030, for Case HE230 with undersized furnace, both the furnace capacity and heating coil capacity should have been set to 5000W. Although furnace capacity had been set to 5000W, the heating coil capacity was set to 10000W. Heating coil capacity was reset to 5000W. This input error was discovered following the release of the NREL report and results from other programs were available to compare to.
- Beginning with EnergyPlus version 1.3.0.018 a new SITE ATMOSPHERIC VARIATION input object became available (beginning with EnergyPlus 3.0.0 the name of this object changed to Site:HeightVariation) to simulate changes in outside air temperature and wind speed that typically occur vertically across building surfaces versus the outdoor air temperature and wind speed that are obtained each hour from the weather file. Typically the meteorological wind speed is measured in an open field at 10m above

the ground and meteorological air temperature is measured at 1.5m above ground level. To accommodate atmospheric variation EnergyPlus now automatically calculates the local outdoor air temperature and wind speed separately for each zone and surface exposed to the outdoor environment. The zone centroid or surface centroid are used to determine the height above ground. Only local outdoor air temperature and wind speed are currently calculated because they are important factors for the exterior convection calculation for surfaces and can also be factors in the zone infiltration and ventilation calculations. Since the Furnace HVAC BESTEST analytical solutions assume that the temperature of the outside surfaces of the building are at the outdoor dry-bulb temperature read from the weather file, the SITE ATMOSPHERIC VARIATION temperature calculation feature was turned off by setting the air temperature gradient coefficient to 0.0. Nothing had to be done to turn off the wind speed calculation since the wind speed on the weather files for all test cases was 0.0 m/s. The SITE ATMOSPHERIC VARIATION object inputs were set as shown below for all test cases.

```

SITE ATMOSPHERIC VARIATION,
0.22,          !- Wind Speed Profile Exponent
370,          !- Wind Speed Profile Boundary Layer Thickness {m}
0.0;          !- Air Temperature Gradient Coefficient {K/m}

```

New output variables to report the surface exterior outdoor dry-bulb temperature and surface exterior wind speed allow the user to track hourly changes when the SITE ATMOSPHERIC VARIATION features are active.

- EnergyPlus 2.2.0.023 results used the WYEC2 weather files that were provided with Standard 140-2007. Although these weather files had different names than those used with prior versions of EnergyPlus (see discussion in Section 1.3.2), the results were identical to results obtained with earlier versions back to Version 1.3.0.018.
- EnergyPlus 3.0.0.028 included a change where the algorithm for variable system timestep was revised. Changes included a uniform system timestep length across zone timestep and stricter management of history terms for zone air conditions. This change had no impact on EnergyPlus results for this test suite.
- EnergyPlus 3.1.0.027 included a correction in fan run-time fraction calculation for on-off fan when gas heating coil PLF curve output is greater than 1.

Table 6 also presents a summary of input file and code changes that were made as the testing progressed with each new release of EnergyPlus. Figures 3 - 5 graphically depict the changes in results between versions. More detailed comparisons of the EnergyPlus version 7.0.0.036 results versus analytical results on an hour by hour basis for those cases where there was varying part load are presented in Appendix A.

**Table 4 – Standard 140-2007 Furnace HVAC Results for  
EnergyPlus Versions 1.3.0.018, 1.4.0.025, 2.0.0.025,  
2.1.0.023 and 2.2.0.023**

<b>Case HE100 - Base Case Building, 100% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	77.74	77.76	0.03%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000263	0.000263	0.06%
<b>Case HE110 - 80% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	77.74	77.76	0.03%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000329	0.000329	-0.02%
<b>Case HE120 - Simple Part Load Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	31.10	0.01%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001295	0.0001295	0.03%
<b>Case HE130 - No Load Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000000	0.000000	0.00%
<b>Case HE140 - Complex Part Load Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	31.10	0.01%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000132	0.000132	-0.21%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	0.00	0.00	0.00%
<b>Case HE150 - Continuous Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	29.65	29.60	-0.16%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001253	0.0001253	-0.01%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	1.560	1.555	-0.31%
<b>Case HE160 - Cycling Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	30.49	-1.95%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001289	0.0001291	0.12%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	0.620	0.610	-1.59%
<b>Case HE170 - Draft Fan Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	29.65	29.60	-0.16%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001253	0.0001253	-0.01%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	1.703	1.703	-0.01%

**Table 4 – Standard 140-2-2007 Furnace HVAC Results for  
EnergyPlus Versions 1.3.0.018, 1.4.0.025, 2.0.0.025,  
2.1.0.023 and 2.2.0.023  
(Continued)**

<b>Case HE210 - Realistic Weather Data</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		42.05	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001776	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		0.840	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.210	
Average Space Temperature (C)		19.9999	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		19.9990	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		03/05	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		08:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0016	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		01/29	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		08:00	
<b>Case HE220 - Setback Thermostat</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		39.83	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001679	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		0.794	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.199	
Average Space Temperature (C)		18.5379	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		14.9990	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		03/21	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		06:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0016	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		01/15	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		09:00	
<b>Case HE230 - Undersized Furnace</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		34.49	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001464	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		1.384	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.346	
Average Space Temperature (C)		15.4649	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		2.0206	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/27	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		06:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0016	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		03/15	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		22:00	

Note: analytical results were not available for Cases HE210 through HE230, therefore percentage differences could not be calculated

**Table 5 – Standard 140-2007 Furnace HVAC Results for  
EnergyPlus Versions 3.0.0.028, 3.1.0.027, 4.0.0.024, 5.0.0.031,  
6.0.0.023 and 7.0.0.036**

<b>Case HE100 - Base Case Building, 100% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	77.74	77.76	0.03%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000263	0.000263	0.06%
<b>Case HE110 - 80% Efficient Furnace</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	77.74	77.76	0.03%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000329	0.000329	-0.02%
<b>Case HE120 - Simple Part Load Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	31.10	0.01%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001295	0.0001295	0.03%
<b>Case HE130 - No Load Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000000	-	0.00%
<b>Case HE140 - Complex Part Load Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	31.10	0.01%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.000132	0.000132	-0.21%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	0.00	0.00	0.00%
<b>Case HE150 - Continuous Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	29.65	29.60	-0.17%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001253	0.0001253	-0.02%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	1.560	1.555	-0.31%
<b>Case HE160 - Cycling Circulating Fan Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	31.10	30.49	-1.96%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001289	0.0001290	0.12%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	0.620	0.613	-1.08%
<b>Case HE170 - Draft Fan Test</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)	29.65	29.60	-0.17%
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)	0.0001253	0.0001253	-0.02%
Total Fan Power (GJ)	1.703	1.703	-0.01%

**Table 5 – Standard 140-2007 Furnace HVAC Results for  
EnergyPlus Versions 3.0.0.028, 3.1.0.027, 4.0.0.024, 5.0.0.031,  
6.0.0.023 and 7.0.0.036  
(Continued)**


<b>Case HE210 - Realistic Weather Data</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		42.05	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001776	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		0.844	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.210	
Average Space Temperature (C)		20.0003	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		20.0000	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/06	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		04:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0016	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		01/29	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		08:00	
Furnace Input (GJ)		52.48	
<b>Case HE220 - Setback Thermostat</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		39.83	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001680	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		0.799	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.199	
Average Space Temperature (C)		18.5373	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		15.0000	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/01	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		03:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0016	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		01/29	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		09:00	
Furnace Input (GJ)		49.63	
<b>Case HE230 - Undersized Furnace</b>			
	Standard 140-2007	EnergyPlus	Difference (%)
Energy Delivered to Space (GJ)		34.50	
Average Rate of Fuel Consumption (m3/s)		0.0001464	
Total Circulating Fan Power (GJ)		1.385	
Total Draft Fan Power (GJ)		0.346	
Average Space Temperature (C)		15.4637	
Minimum Space Temperature (C)		2.0135	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Month/Day		01/27	
Time of Minimum Temperature, Hour		06:00	
Maximum Space Temperature (C)		20.0016	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Month/Day		03/15	
Time of Maximum Temperature, Hour		22:00	
Furnace Input (GJ)		43.26	

Note: BESTEST analytical results were not available for Cases HE210 through HE230, therefore percentage differences could not be calculated

**Table 6 – Summary of Pertinent EnergyPlus Changes that were Implemented**


<b>Version</b>	<b>Input File Changes</b>	<b>Code Changes</b>
1.0.1.017	Added 50 watt parasitic load for draft fan	Added capability to gas heating coil object to allow parasitic load input for draft fan
1.0.1.031		Added part load performance curve for heating coil
1.0.1.038		Changed fan HIR versus PLR part load simulation logic
1.1.0.020		Added code to iterate for the case of cycling fan, cycling coil when the heater has a part-load performance curve. This was needed to correctly account for the amount of fan heat, with the balance of the heating load being picked up by the heater
1.2.2.015		Changed reference for furnace capacity from return air node to zone node
1.2.2.016		Changed convergence technique for furnace to be percent of load instead of absolute watts
1.2.2.024		Corrected PartLoadRatio for the furnace which was not initialized prior to use
1.2.2.030	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Used EnergyPlus weather files created from WYEC2 formatted files provided by NCR</li> <li>• Set surface convection coefficients manually to that required in test specification using new CONVECTIONCOEFFICIENTS object</li> <li>• Changed loads convergence tolerance from 0.03999999 to 0.00000004. Changed temperature convergence tolerance from 0.0040000 to 0.00000004</li> <li>• Corrected heating coil capacity to 5000 W for Case 2c; previously was erroneously set to 10,000 W</li> </ul>	
1.3.0.018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Turned off the surface vertical temperature adjustment in the new SITE ATMOSPHERIC VARIATION object</li> </ul>	
2.2.0.023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Used EnergyPlus weather files created from WYEC2 formatted files provided with Standard 140-2007</li> </ul>	
3.0.0.028	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	The algorithm for variable system timestep was revised. Changes include uniform system timestep length across zone timestep and stricter management of history terms for zone air conditions.
3.1.0.027	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	Corrected fan run-time fraction calculation for on-off fan when gas heating coil PLF curve output is greater than 1. (CR7689)

**Standard 140-2007 Fuel-Fired Furnace Comparison  
Energy Delivered to Space**




**Figure 3 Energy Delivered to Space Results for Various Release Versions of EnergyPlus**

**Standard 140-2007 Fuel-Fired Furnace Comparison  
Rate of Fuel Consumption**



**Figure 4 Rate of Fuel Consumption Results for Various Release Versions of EnergyPlus**

**Standard 140-2007 Fuel-Fired Furnace Comparison  
Total Fan Power (Circulating + Draft)**



**Figure 5 Total Fan Power Results for Various Release Versions of EnergyPlus**  
*(An input error was corrected for Case HE230 with version 1.2.2.032 once NREL report was released and results from other programs were available to compare to.)*



### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

---


The results of the EnergyPlus Standard 140-2007 test cases HE100 - HE230 comparison with the analytical results for cases where results varied hourly, i.e., Cases HE140 through HE170 and Cases HE210 through HE230, are summarized on a set of charts which are presented in Appendix A. The nomenclature for the various programs referred to on these charts along with the program author and modeler responsible for using the program as part of the Fuel-Fired Furnace HVAC BESTEST project are presented below.

Code Name	Authoring Organization	Implemented by	Abbreviation
ESP-r/HOT3000	University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK	CANMET Energy Technology Centre, Ottawa, Canada	ESR-r/HOT3000
DOE-2.1E-c133	LANL/LBNL/JJH, U.S	CANMET Energy Technology Centre, Ottawa, Canada.	DOE-2.1E
Analytical/Semi- Analytical Solution	CANMET Energy Technology Centre, Ottawa, Canada	CANMET Energy Technology Centre, Ottawa, Canada	Analytical/Semi- Analytical
ENERGYPLUS 7.0.0.036	U.S. Dept. of Energy	GARD Analytics, Inc., U.S.	EnergyPlus Ver 7.0.0.036

LANL/LBNL: Los Alamos National Laboratory/Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
JJH: James J. Hirsch & Associates


A series of charts that compare the results of EnergyPlus with other programs and the analytical results are presented below in Figures 6 - 8. The DOE-2 results shown on these charts were updated to reflect small changes that were made as part of ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 Errata Sheet issued in December 2008. A visual inspection of these charts indicates that EnergyPlus compares extremely well to the analytical results. As was indicated in Table 5 in Section 2.8, for tests where analytical results were available, EnergyPlus version 7.0.0.036 results were within +0.12% to -0.21% for fuel consumption and within +0.00% to -1.1% for fan power consumption compared to the analytical results.

**Standard 140-2007 Fuel-Fired Furnace Comparison  
Energy Delivered to Space**




**Figure 6 Energy Delivered to Space Results for Various Programs**

**Standard 140-2007 Fuel-Fired Furnace Comparison  
Rate of Fuel Consumption**



**Figure 7 Rate of Fuel Consumption Results for Various Programs**

**Standard 140-2007 Fuel-Fired Furnace Comparison  
Total Fan Power (Circulating + Draft)**



**Figure 8 Total Fan Power Results for Various Programs**



## 4 CONCLUSIONS

---

EnergyPlus Version 7.0.0.036 was used to model a range of HVAC specifications for a fuel-fired furnace as specified in ANSI/ASHRAE 140-2007 *Standard Method of Test for the Evaluation of Building Energy Analysis Computer Programs*. The ability of EnergyPlus to predict the heat delivered to the zone, the fuel consumed by the furnace and electric energy usage of the circulating fan and draft fan were tested using a test suite of 11 test cases. The results predicted by EnergyPlus for the 8 different cases making up Tier 1 were compared to results of analytical solutions which were provided as part of the Standard. EnergyPlus results agreed with the analytical results to within a range of +0.12% to -0.21% for fuel consumption and to within a range of +0.00% to -1.1% for fan power consumption.

The ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 140-2007 is a valuable testing tool which provides excellent benchmarks for testing HVAC system and equipment algorithms versus the results of analytical solutions. As discussed above, these tests allowed the developers of EnergyPlus to identify the following errors in algorithms and improve simulation accuracy.

- Prompted addition of gas heating coil part load efficiency
- Algorithm was improve for part load operation of circulating fan and gas heating coil which is part of the EnergyPlus AirLoopHVAC:Unitary:Furnace:HeatOnly object.



## 5 REFERENCES

---

ANSI/ASHRAE 2007. Standard 140-2007, Standard Method of Test for the Evaluation of Building Energy Analysis Computer Programs, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta, GA.

EnergyPlus 2011. U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technologies. <http://www.energyplus.gov/>

Purdy, J. and Beausoleil-Morrison, I. 2003. *International Energy Agency Building Energy Simulation Test for Heating, Ventilating, and Air-Conditioning Equipment Models (HVAC BESTEST): Fuel-Fired Furnace Test Cases*, CANMET Energy Technology Centre, Ottawa, Canada. March 2003.




## **Appendix A**


### **Charts Comparing EnergyPlus Results with Analytical Solutions For Cases with Varying Part Load**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE140, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Sinusoidal Change in External Temperature**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve, 0W Supply Fan**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE150, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Sinusoidal Change in External Temperature**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, Continuous Fan & Cycling Burner Operation**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE160, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Sinusoidal Change in External Temperature**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, Cycling Fan & Burner Operation**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE160, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Sinusoidal Change in External Temperature**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, Cycling Fan & Burner Operation**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE170, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Sinusoidal Change in External Temperature**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, Continuous Fan & Cycling Burner Operation**  
**50W Draft Fan**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE170, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Sinusoidal Change in External Temperature**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, Continuous Fan & Cycling Burner Operation**  
**50W Draft Fan**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE210, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Ottawa Weather File**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, 50W Draft Fan**  
**Fans Cycling with Burner Operation**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE210, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor**  
**Ottawa Weather File**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, 50W Draft Fan**  
**Fans Cycling with Burner Operation**




**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE220, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor with Night Setback to 15C**  
**Ottawa Weather File**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, 50W Draft Fan**  
**Fans Cycling with Burner Operation**



**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE220, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor with Night Setback to 15C**  
**Ottawa Weather File**  
**80% Efficient 10,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, 50W Draft Fan**  
**Fans Cycling with Burner Operation**



**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE230, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor with Night Setback to 15C**  
**Ottawa Weather File**  
**80% Efficient Undersized 5,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, 50W Draft Fan**  
**Fans Cycling with Burner Operation**



**Standard 140-2007 - Furnace Test HE230, January 1**  
**Baseline Building, 20C Indoor with Night Setback to 15C**  
**Ottawa Weather File**  
**80% Efficient Undersized 5,000W Furnace with Part Load Curve**  
**200W Supply Fan, 50W Draft Fan**  
**Fans Cycling with Burner Operation**

